

Painlevé Analysis and Exact Solutions of a Modified Boussinesq Equation

Q. P. Liu

CCAST(World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China.

and

Beijing Graduate School(#65),
China University of Mining and Technology,
Beijing 100083, China¹

ABSTRACT We consider a modified Boussinesq type equation. The Painlevé test of the WTC method is performed for this equation and it shows that the equation has weak Painlevé property. Some exact solutions are constructed.

¹Mailing address

Great achievements have been made for the Soliton theory during last three decades[1]. Now there exist several methods to test integrability for a given equation. Among them, Painlevé analysis of Weiss, Tabor and Carnevale(WTC)[2] are particularly interesting and has seen a great success. Applying the WTC Painlevé test to integrable systems, one may extract some important information. For example, Lax pairs, Bäcklund transformations may be rediscovered this way[3][5].

It was found that the WTC method may also be applied to nonintegrable systems. Though these systems may not pass the test in full sense, it may have weak Painlevé property. More importantly, some useful results can be produced from this test, such as exact solutions, Bäcklund transformations. So far, several nonintegrable equations are considered and we here just cite the n-dimensional Sine-Gordon equation[4], the Kuramoto- Sivashinsky equation[6], the real Newell-Whitehead and the Ginsburg-Landau equations[7], and the carrier flow equation[8](see [9] for more references).

In this note, we give one more example. The equation we will consider is

$$u_{tt} = (u_{xx} - u^3)_{xx} \quad (1)$$

this is a real counterpart of the equation derived by Paldor[12]. Indeed, Paldor proposed the following equation

$$\hat{u}_{tt} = (\hat{u}_{xx} + \hat{u}^3)_{xx}$$

as a model to describe nonlinear waves on a coupled density front. He further considered the existence of solitary wave solution by means of qualitative analysis. However, an explicit solitary solution is not given. In fact, it is easy to see that following solution

$$\hat{u} = \pm\sqrt{2}k \operatorname{sech}(kx \pm k^2t + \delta)$$

is the solitary solution Paldor meant[12].

Compared with Boussinesq equation, we recognize that this system(1) has higher nonlinear term - cubic rather quadratic. This reminds of the relation of the KdV and modified KdV equations. That is in this sense, the equation is modified Boussinesq type.

Let us rewrite the equation(1) as a two-component system

$$g_t = h_x, \quad h_t = (g_{xx} - g^3)_x \quad (2)$$

where we used $g \equiv u$. Now it is interesting that the equation (2) is Hamiltonian

$$\begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta g} \\ \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta h} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where $H_1 = -\frac{1}{2}g_x^2 - \frac{1}{4}g^4 + \frac{1}{2}h^2$. The other more obvious conserved quantities are $H_{-2} = g$, $H_{-1} = h$ and $H_0 = gh$.

Before doing Painlevé analysis, Let us note that the following solitary wave solution exists for the equation(1)

$$u = \pm\sqrt{2}k \operatorname{csch}(kx \pm k^2t + \delta) \quad (4)$$

where k and δ are constants.

We will see that the system is weak Painlevé. Interestingly, the compatible conditions required lead to the well-known inviscid Burgers equation(or Hopf equation). Some exact solutions are constructed. By truncating the Laurent series, a Bäcklund transformation is produced.

As known, we take the following Laurent expansion of the function $u(x,t)$ about a singular manifold $\phi(x,t)$

$$u(x,t) = \phi^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j \phi^j \quad (5)$$

where $u_j = u_j(x,t)$. By means of leading order analysis, we find that leading power is $p = -1$. Substituting above expansion with $p = -1$ to our equation and equalizing the coefficient of the ϕ^{j-5} to zero, we find that

$$P_1 = P_2 - 6P_3 - 3P_4 \quad (6)$$

where $P_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= (j-4)((j-3)\phi_t^2 u_{j-2} + \phi_{tt} u_{j-3} + 2\phi_t u_{j-3,t}) + u_{j-4,tt}, \\ P_2 &= (j-1)(j-2)(j-3)(j-4)\phi_x^4 u_j + (j-2)(j-3)(j-4)(6\phi_x^2 \phi_{xx} u_{j-1} + \\ &\quad 4\phi_x^3 u_{j-1,x}) + (j-3)(j-4)(3\phi_{xx}^2 u_{j-2} + 4\phi_x \phi_{xxx} u_{j-2} + 12\phi_x \phi_{xx} u_{j-2,x} + \\ &\quad 6\phi_x^2 u_{j-2,xx}) + (j-4)(\phi_{xxxx} u_{j-3} + 4\phi_{xxx} u_{j-3,x} + 6\phi_{xx} u_{j-3,xx} + 4\phi_x u_{j-3,xxx}) + \\ &\quad u_{j-4,xxxx}, \\ P_3 &= \phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j u_{j-m} A_m + \sum_{m=0}^{j-2} u_{j-2-m} B_m + \phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j u_{j-m} C_m - \\ &\quad 2\phi_x \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} u_{j-1-m} D_m - 2\phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j u_{j-m} E_m + 2\phi_x \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} u_{j-1-m} F_m, \\ P_4 &= 2\phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j A_{j-m} u_m - \phi_{xx} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} A_{j-1-m} u_m - 2\phi_x \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} A_{j-1-m} u_{m,x} - \\ &\quad 2\phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j m A_{j-m} u_m + \sum_{m=0}^{j-2} A_{j-2-m} u_{m,xx} + 2\phi_x \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} m A_{j-1-m} u_{m,x} + \\ &\quad \phi_{xx} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} m A_{j-1-m} u_m + \phi_x^2 \sum_{m=0}^j m(m-1) A_{j-m} u_m. \end{aligned}$$

where A_j, B_j, C_j, D_j, E_j and F_j are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j u_{j-k} u_k, & B_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j u_{j-k,x} u_{k,x}, & C_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j (j-k) k u_{j-k} u_k, \\ D_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j u_{j-k} u_{k,x}, & E_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j k u_{j-k} u_k, & F_j &= \sum_{k=0}^j k u_{j-k,x} u_k \end{aligned}$$

The resonances are found from the roots of the following equation

$$(j+1)(j-3)(j-4)^2 = 0 \quad (7)$$

which are $j = -1, j = 3$ and $j = 4, j = 4$

To convenience, we introduce the following notions as in[7]

$$w = \frac{\phi_t}{\phi_x}, \quad v = \frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x} \quad (8)$$

From the above rather long expression (6), we have

$$j = 0 : \quad u_0 = \sqrt{2} \phi_x \quad (9)$$

$$j = 1 : \quad u_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v \quad (10)$$

$$j = 2 : \quad u_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6\phi_x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} v^2 - w^2 + v_x \right) \quad (11)$$

$$j = 3 : \quad w_t = ww_x \quad (12)$$

$$j = 4 : \quad (w_t - ww_x)_x + v(w_t - ww_x) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Thus, the equation(1) does not pass the WTC Painlevé test in the full sense since the compatibility condition at the resonances $j = 3, 4$ are not satisfied identically.

However, if we impose that the singular manifold satisfies the equation(12), then the condition(13) at the resonance $j = 4$ is hold consequently.

Remark. If we choose the Kruskal gauge for the singular manifold $\phi = x - f(t)$ at the very beginning, we obtain a constraint at $j = 3$. But at $j = 4$, compatibility condition is satisfied automatically.

The equation(12) is well-known and referred as inviscid Burgers equation in the literatures. Its classical theory is available from the book[10]. The Interesting Hamiltonian properties of the equation is explored by Olver and Nutku[11] recently.

Remark. We notice that the WTC's Painlevé analysis of the double Sine-Gordon equation[3] also leads to the inviscid Burgers equation, a typical equation describing shock wave.

Let us see what kind of solutions we may construct for the equation(1). At this point, we see that $w_1 = 0$ and $w_2 = b$ (b is an arbitrary constant) are solutions of the inviscid Burgers equation(11). In the first case, $\phi = C(x)$ and we are lead to the stationary equation:

$$(u_{xx} - u^3)_{xx} = 0 \quad (14)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d^2 u(x)}{dx^2} - u^3 = C_0 + C_1 x \quad (15)$$

is integrable.

In the second case: $w_2 = b$, the solution of the equation $\phi_t = b\phi_x$ is

$$\phi(x, t) = f(x + bt) \quad (16)$$

f is an arbitrary function. This reduction is a particular travelling wave reduction of the equation(1)

$$f'' - f^3 = b^2 f + C_2 + C_3 \xi \quad (17)$$

where $\xi = x + bt$ and prime denotes the derivative with ξ . The second order ODE is integrable. We will present a solution of this equation later on.

Let us now impose the truncation condition for the Laurent expansion(4):

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1 \quad (18)$$

then by letting the coefficients of the power ϕ to zero, we have

$$\phi^5 : \quad u_0 = \pm \sqrt{2} \phi_x \quad (19)$$

$$\phi^4 : \quad u_1 = \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v \quad (20)$$

$$\phi^3 : \quad v_x = \frac{1}{2} v^2 + w^2 \quad (21)$$

$$\phi^2 : \quad w_t + 3ww_x + 3w^2v - vv_x + \frac{3}{2}v^3 - 2v_{xx} = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$\phi : \quad v_{xxx} = w_{tx} + w_x^2 + ww_{xx} + 3ww_xv + w^2v_x + w_tv + v^2w^2 + \frac{3}{2}v^2v_x + \frac{1}{2}v^4 - vv_{xx} \quad (23)$$

$$\phi^0 : \quad u_{1,tt} = (u_{1,xx} - u_1^3)_{xx} \quad (24)$$

Straightforward calculation shows that the equation(22) leads to the equation(12) under the condition (21). The equations(21) and (22) together show that the equation(23) is hold. The integrable condition $\phi_{t,xx} = \phi_{xx,t}$ gives us an extra equation for w and v: $v_t = w_{xx} + (wv)_x$. Thus, we have three equations to solve. For clarity, we collect them below

$$v_x = \frac{1}{2} v^2 + w^2 \quad (25)$$

$$w_t = ww_x \quad (26)$$

$$v_t = v_{xx} + (vw)_x \quad (27)$$

Any solution of this system(25),(26) and (27) will provides us a Bäcklund transformation thorough the equation(18) in principle.

Next we consider this system in some detail. Using $v_{xt} = v_{tx}$, we have from the equations(25), (27) and (26)

$$w_{xx} + \frac{2}{3}w^3 = C(t) \quad (28)$$

where C(t) is an integration constant with respect to x.

Taking $w_{t,xx} = w_{xx,t}$ into consideration, we find the C(t) must satisfy

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = w_x(3C(t) - 2w^3) \quad (29)$$

a linear equation for C(t).

We may eliminate w_x from the equation(29) using the equation(28)

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = \pm(3C(t) - 2w^3)\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}w^4 + 2C(t)w + D(t)} \quad (30)$$

where D(t) arises from the integration with respect to x.

Therefore, we may solve the equation(30) for w and using the Riccati type equation(25) and the equation(27) to find v(x,t). This will give us a solution of the equation(1) even without finding the explicit form of the singular manifold ϕ . Of Course, whenas a ϕ is calculated out, we may use the truncation equation(18) to get more solutions by iteration.

We must stress that above procedure may still have difficulties to follow since the Riccati type equation(25) may present problem. However, this method does give us interesting results as we do next.

In the case $\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = 0$, we get $w = b$ (b is a constant). The singular manifold is $\phi(x, t) = f(x + bt)$ and by the definition of v or equation(27), we see $v(x, t) = g(x + bt)$ (g is an arbitrary function). Now the equation(25) tells us that g is solution the following Riccati equation

$$g' = \frac{1}{2}g^2 + b^2 \quad (31)$$

Linearizing the equation(31) by $g = -2\frac{F'}{F}$, we find

$$F'' = -\frac{b^2}{2}F \quad (32)$$

its general solution is

$$F(\xi) = C_1 \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{2}\right) + C_2 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{2}\right) \quad (33)$$

Therefore, a solution of the equation(1) is

$$u_1(\xi) = \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}g = \pm b \frac{C_1 - C_2 \tan\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}b\xi\right)}{C_1 \tan\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}b\xi\right) + C_2} \quad (34)$$

Consequently, the singular manifold in this case is

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}C_3}{C_2b} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{4}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{4}\right)}{2C_1 \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{4}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{4}\right) + 2C_2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b\xi}{4}\right) - C_2} \quad (35)$$

where $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ and b are constants and $\xi = x + bt$. Substituting (34) and (35) into the equation(18), we have any solution.

Thus, by means of the WTC approach, we get various solutions of the equation(1). It further shows that this method is very fruitful even for nonintegrable systems.

we conclude this paper with the remarks in order:

1. The analysis above indicates that the equation(1) is not integrable. It will be interesting to perform a numerical analysis for this equation to see what happens;
2. The equation is easily seen to have scaling symmetry. It would be important to do classical Lie group or even nonclassical group analysis for it. This may lead to some new solutions;
3. Hirota's bilinear method is another effective way to get exact solutions. We suppose that a bilinearization of the equation(1) will provide two-soliton solution.
4. The similar consideration should be taken for the Paldor's equation(2).

Some of these problems are under investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Drs. X.B.Hu, S.Y. Lou and J. Zhu for the helpful discussions. This work is supported by Natural National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz and H.Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM, Philadelphia(1981).
M.J.Ablowitz and P.A.Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge University Press(1991).
- [2] J. Weiss, M. Tabor and G. Carnevale, J. Math. Phys. **24** (1983) 522-6.
- [3] J. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. **23** (1983)1405-13.
- [4] J. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984) 2226-35.
- [5] M. Musette and R. Conte, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991)1450-7.
- [6] R. Conte and M. Musette, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. **22** (1989) 169-77.
- [7] F. Cariello and M. Tabor, Physica **39D** (1989) 77-94.
- [8] Z. Chen and Y.Guo, J.Phys.A: Math. Gen. **22** (1989) 5187-94.
- [9] P. Clarkson and E. Mansfield, Physica **70D** (1994) 250-88.
- [10] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, Wiley-Interscience, New York(1974).
- [11] P.J. Olver and Nutku, J. Math. Phys. **29** (1989).
- [12] G. Paldor, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. **37** (1987) 171-98;
M. Ghil and G. Paldor, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. **58** (1991) 225-41;
M. Ghil and G. Padlor, J. Nonlinear Sic. **4** (1994) 471-96.